

THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

BLACK LIVES MATTER SEATTLE-
KING COUNTY, ABIE EKENEZAR,
SHARON SAKAMOTO, MURACO
KYASHNA-TOCHA, ALEXANDER
WOLDEAB, NATHALIE GRAHAM,
AND ALEXANDRA CHEN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF SEATTLE,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-887 RAJ

MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
WHY CITY OF SEATTLE SHOULD NOT BE
HELD IN CONTEMPT FOR VIOLATING
THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
July 30, 2020

Oral Argument Requested

MOTION FOR CONTEMPT (No 2:20-cv-
887 RAJ)

Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Phone: 206.359.8000
Fax: 206.359.9000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
A. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Peaceful Protesters and Deploying Projectiles Indiscriminately into Crowds.....	2
B. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Journalists	7
C. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Legal Observers	9
D. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Medics.....	9
III. ARGUMENT	10
A. Legal Standard for Contempt.....	10
B. The City Plainly Violated the Preliminary Injunction by Targeting Peaceful Protestors, Journalists, Legal Observers, and Medics.....	11
IV. CONCLUSION.....	12

I. INTRODUCTION

The City of Seattle has willfully and brazenly violated the preliminary injunction. The Court should hold the City in contempt. Two days ago, the Seattle Police Department (SPD) ambushed peaceful protesters with a level of violence that surpasses that seen in early June. Protestors were indiscriminately hit with blast balls, pepper spray, and blunt force objects. Journalists were trampled. Medics were maced for attending to patients. Legal observers were shot at close range. The injuries were extensive.

The City's actions—documented in horrific detail in the declarations accompanying this motion—plainly violate the preliminary injunction. The City will argue that its actions were justified because some protesters allegedly committed property crimes and threw things at police officers. But that would be the same argument the City made before, which the Court rejected in declaring that it is unconstitutional to use force against an entire crowd because of the actions of a few specific protesters who individually pose a threat. The evidence shows many instances of indiscriminate use of the weapons against people who are not suspected of any alleged violence or property crime, without warning and without provocation. A “Wall of Moms” poses no threat to a line of armored police officers in riot gear. Those mothers were not violent, were not wielding weapons, and were not breaking any laws; they were there to protest police brutality. Instead, they were met with more police brutality. For many protesters, struggling to understand why the SPD reacted so violently, this felt like vengeance. The sheer amount of blast balls and pepper spray used against protesters supports that notion.

Simply put, it is *always* wrong to attack protesters peacefully exercising their constitutional rights with potentially lethal force. It is *never* justified to attack journalists, medics, legal observers. It is *always* unconstitutional to fire indiscriminately into a crowd. But that's exactly what SPD did throughout July 25, 2020, in clear violation of this Court's order.

The Court should order the City to show cause why it should not be held in contempt.

II. BACKGROUND

On June 12, 2020, the Court enjoined the City of Seattle from “employing chemical irritants or projectiles of any kind against persons peacefully engaging in protests or demonstrations.” Dkt. 34 at 11. Chemical irritants and projectiles were defined to include pepper spray, flash-bang grenades, pepper balls, blast balls, rubber bullets, and foam-tipped projectiles. *Id.* The only exception allows for individual officers to deploy these chemical weapons or projectiles against peaceful protesters if and only if it is 1) necessary; 2) reasonable; 3) proportional, and 4) targeted to either protect against “specific imminent threat of physical harm” or to respond to “specific acts of violence or destruction of property.” *Id.* On June 17, 2020, the Court converted its June 12 order into a preliminary injunction in effect through September 30, 2020 (Dkt. 42) (“the Injunction”).

On July 25, 2020, protesters in Seattle again took to the streets, to peacefully protest police violence. Soon after the demonstrations started, as detailed in the many declarations filed with this motion. SPD began using indiscriminate and excessive force to suppress the mostly peaceful demonstrations against police violence.¹

A. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Peaceful Protesters and Deploying Projectiles Indiscriminately into Crowds.

Many witnesses consistently say the same thing: on July 25, SPD suddenly and without warning divided the protesters into two groups and began an all-out assault. SPD officers lobbed flash-bang grenades, blast balls filled with pepper spray, and foam tipped bullets into the middle of retreating crowds. Bonifilia Decl., at ¶¶ 7-9; Matney Decl., at ¶¶ 10, 19; Butler Decl., at ¶¶ 5-7. People screamed and ran in terror as chaos ensued. Baker Decl. ¶ 14; Sill Decl. ¶ 7.

Jessica Bonafilia was marching peacefully and without incident. When she reached the SPD East Precinct, SPD threw flash bangs to push the crowd back down Pine Street. Bonifilia Decl., ¶¶ 5-6. She never heard a warning or dispersal order. *Id.* ¶ 6. The entire crowd tried to

¹ Counsel conferred about this motion on July 27, 2020. Counsel for the City committed to providing Plaintiffs information about what less lethal weapons were used on July 25, 2020. David Perez Decl., Ex. D. MOTION FOR CONTEMPT (No 2:20-cv-887 RAJ) –2

1 retreat as SPD continued deploying flash-bang grenades and other incendiary devices. *Id.* ¶¶ 6-7.
2 SPD officers lobbed blast balls overhand into the middle of the crowd. *Id.* ¶ 7. Standing about
3 10 rows back from the police line in the crowd of protesters, she was hit by one of those blast
4 balls, which exploded on her right leg. *Id.* ¶ 9. **The blast knocked her to the ground, singed**
5 **her clothing, and gave her first and second-degree burns.** *Id.* ¶¶ 9, 16. By the time she
6 reached safety from SPD’s violence, her thighs were **covered in blood.** *Id.* ¶13. Four of her
7 friends were also hit by SPD projectiles. *Id.* ¶17.

8 Kathryn Forest joined the “Wall of Moms” group. *Id.* ¶ 5. In her words “the group has
9 become a means for mothers to more tangibly support the anti-racism, anti-police brutality
10 movement by shielding other protesters who might be more readily targeted with aggressive law
11 enforcement tactics.” *Id.* They did not have weapons, they did not destroy any property, and
12 they did not hurt anyone. *Id.* ¶ 8. They posed no threat to anyone. *Id.* When she returned to Cal
13 Anderson Park after marching, she was about to start her good-byes and start heading home to
14 Tacoma—but then came the explosions. *Id.* ¶ 9. **Law enforcement emerged in force and**
15 **began deploying flash grenades indiscriminately into the crowd.** *Id.* Terrified and disoriented
16 she linked arms with the Wall of Moms. *Id.* ¶¶ 11-13. The police lobbed flash bang grenades at
17 their feet. *Id.* ¶ 14. One detonated at her feet and injured her. *Id.* The Wall of Moms raised
18 their umbrellas to shield themselves. *Id.* ¶15. The officers began spraying mace wildly at them
19 and telling them to stay back, even though they had not moved forward. *Id.*; *see also* Baker
20 Decl. ¶¶ 22-23. Ms. Forest peeked behind her umbrella, when an officer sprayed her at close
21 range in the face—incapacitating her. Forest Decl. ¶ 16. Her eyes, face, and lungs were burning
22 as she was grabbed by the other Wall of Moms women; saline rinse didn’t help. *Id.* ¶ 17. Ms.
23 Forest had never experienced anything like this and left thinking the police wanted to attack the
24 Wall of Moms—they seemed angry and fixated on hurting them. *Id.* ¶ 18.

25 Sophia Bruce was peacefully marching with her parents when without warning SPD
26 approached from behind and fired flash bangs, blast balls, and pepper spray indiscriminately into

1 the crowd. Bruce Decl. ¶ 7. She held out her umbrella to protect against the blasts, but one
2 flash-bang detonated beside her. *Id.* ¶ 8. A piece of shrapnel from the flash-bang grenade struck
3 her head and caused serious injury. *Id.* ¶¶ 6-9. The images are horrifying.

4 Meanwhile, Cass Bunting was marching peacefully. Bunting Decl. ¶¶ 3-4. Near the end
5 of the march, and without warning, SPD began firing incendiary devices indiscriminately into
6 the crowd. *Id.* ¶ 7. Bunting felt an explosion at their feet and immediately lost all feeling in their
7 feet. *Id.* ¶ 8. The incendiary device burned a large area on both ankles, leaving Bunting unable
8 to walk for an hour. *Id.* ¶¶ 10, 13. Bunting screamed for a medic and was carried out to receive
9 medical attention. *Id.* ¶ 12; Ex. A-E (photos of injuries).

10 Nearby, Jessica Butler saw SPD throwing flash bangs towards peaceful protesters. Butler
11 Decl., ¶¶ 5-6. Ms. Butler did not see protesters doing anything other than peacefully
12 demonstrating. *Id.* ¶ 6. Shortly thereafter, she was struck in the back of the leg with a projectile.
13 *Id.* ¶ 7. SPD struck a person next to her in the back. *Id.* Shortly after, she went home. *Id.*

14 Joshua Matney joined the protest at Broadway and East Pine Street where he found SPD
15 firing projectiles indiscriminately into the crowd of peaceful protestors. Matney Decl. ¶ 10.
16 (“These officers were firing baton rounds – rubber bullets or sponge-tipped bullets –
17 indiscriminately into the crowd.”). An officer about ten feet away fired a projectile at Matney
18 and hit him in the right thigh. *Id.* ¶ 12. A few minutes later, SPD began “throwing blast balls
19 deep into the crowd, indiscriminately. They were not just throwing them at the front.” One blast
20 ball struck Matney in the ankle and exploded—he had to go to the ER. *Id.* ¶ 21, 29.

21 Tyler Sill was in the middle of the crowd peacefully marching when SPD started
22 launching incendiary devices and other projectiles near the East Precinct without any warning.
23 Sill Decl., ¶¶ 7-8. He too was hit by a blast ball that exploded so close to him that it burned his
24 leg in two places, through his pants. *Id.* ¶ 12. He was never closer than fifty feet from an SPD
25 officer. *Id.* ¶ 13. He saw many other blast balls explode right next to people. *Id.* ¶ 15 (photos of
26 his injuries).

1 A poignant example of gratuitous violence can be seen in SPD's aggressive grabbing and
 2 destroying protesters' umbrellas. Addams Decl. ¶¶ 5, 7. **Umbrellas are not weapons.** They are
 3 purely defensive, to protect protesters from gas and projectiles launched by the police. *Id.* ¶ 6;
 4 Matney Decl., at ¶ 11. Nevertheless, SPD instigated conflict by ripping umbrellas from the
 5 hands of protesters. Addams Decl., at ¶ 5. Police simultaneously shot chemical agents and
 6 projectiles into the crowd at close range. *Id.*, ¶ 9; Matney Decl., ¶ 6 (police officers stole the
 7 shield from woman prior to deploying pepper spray). Protesters were left vulnerable and
 8 defenseless to these weapons. Addams Decl., ¶ 7, 9.

9 Even those at the back of the demonstration were not safe from SPD's excessive force.
 10 Trimble Decl. at ¶ 2. Jessica Trimble has limited mobility and cannot run. *Id.* ¶¶ 3-4. She
 11 decided to join the July 25 protest after seeing SPD target the media with pepper spray. Ms.
 12 Trimble thought she would be safe in the back, *id.*, at ¶ 4, but SPD officers approached them
 13 quickly from behind and without warning fired flash bangs and pepper balls into the crowd, *id.* ¶
 14 7. She moved away as fast as she could. *Id.* ¶¶ 6-7. Once she finally got away, she left the
 15 demonstration and went home. *Id.* ¶ 9.

16 And, those at the front who tried to run from SPD's violence were often trapped and
 17 subjected to more force. *See* Merino Decl., at ¶ 8. ("I was complying with the officers and was
 18 backing up slowly. The group was tight, and many people were scared, so we had to be careful
 19 not to cause a stampede. . . . I was not making eye contact or speaking to an officer, but I was
 20 pepper sprayed directly in the face for no reason."). Even after Ms. Merino was immobilized by
 21 the pepper spray, an officer targeted her with a blast ball that exploded on her ankle. *Id.*²

22 Video from July 25 shows that SPD's use of force was not only indiscriminate but also
 23 directed against people **who were retreating and posed no threat** to SPD officers. *See* Mike
 24

25 ² Similarly, Plaintiff Alexandra Chen was part of a group of protesters trying to retreat from the police
 26 while the police were firing flash bangs into the crowd. The protesters retreated for several blocks, and still the
 police followed, physically pushing protesters from behind and using flash bangs and chemical irritants on anyone
 who was not moving as quickly as the officers wanted them to move. Chen Decl. ¶¶ 6-9.

1 Baker (@ByMikeBaker), Twitter (Jul. 25, 2020, 7:27 PM),
 2 <https://twitter.com/ByMikeBaker/status/1287212851807940608>. The video shows SPD
 3 launching an incendiary device that appears to strike a woman who was ten to twenty feet behind
 4 the line of protesters that SPD was pushing back. *Id.* (0:11-0:19). And as protesters tried to help
 5 her, SPD pepper sprayed them, too. SPD continued spraying the protesters as they ran, ignoring
 6 their screams of agony and terror. *Id.* (0:25-0:44). SPD then threw a second woman to the
 7 ground, slamming her head onto the concrete curb. *Id.* (0:17-0:22). The second woman is also
 8 visible at the beginning of this clip, wearing a pink bandana, clearly trying to get to safety, away
 9 from SPD. *Id.* (0:01-0:11). Officers showed little regard for the safety of persons injured by
 10 their actions. *Id.* (0:27 “there’s a person on the ground”; 0:34).

11 Another video shows SPD shooting pepper spray at a man standing at his bike, presenting
 12 no danger to the SPD officers. Patrick Malone (@pmalonedc), Twitter (Jul. 25, 2020, 7:29 PM),
 13 <https://mobile.twitter.com/pmalonedc/status/1287213310505410562>.

14 A third video shows an officer spraying a protester with pepper spray as another officer
 15 hits him with a baton. When the protester fell to the ground, the SPD officer hit him in the face
 16 again with pepper spray. Then, SPD attacked people trying to help the defenseless protester on
 17 the ground, spraying them with pepper spray even as they pull the protester to safety.

18 @daeshikjr, Twitter (Jul. 25, 2020, 11:16 PM),
 19 <https://twitter.com/daeshikjr/status/1287270463773609987?s=09>. *See also* Declaration of
 20 Corinne Arnold (“Arnold Decl.”) ¶ 19.

21 The experiences of the witnesses who have submitted declarations in this case do not
 22 reflect unique or isolated incidents. They paint a picture of a clear **resurgence of the excessive**
 23 **force and retaliation by SPD that the June 17 Preliminary Injunction sought to enjoin.**
 24 SPD’s actions were neither necessary, reasonable, proportional, nor targeted to a specific threat.
 25 SPD’s response on July 25 also showcased alarming tactics that also violate the June 17
 26 Preliminary Injunction. SPD would, without warning, begin advancing their line, launching

pepper spray, projectiles, and other incendiary devices to push back the protesters. Oberstadt Decl. ¶ 3 (protester close enough to the police to hear a dispersal order given). Once SPD had pushed back the protesters, SPD would retreat back to where they began. Protesters would then start to fill in the void. Then, after waiting some time, SPD would move in again with the same approach. SPD's advancements never appear to be in response to any specific threat and instead seem to be an opportunity for SPD to use force against protesters and retaliate against them for exercising their first amendment rights. Kyashna-tochá Decl. ¶¶ 14, 16-25.

After observing the July 25 protest, the NLG also concluded that SPD violated the Court's injunction by "engag[ing] in the indiscriminate use of crowd control munitions against largely peaceful protestors." Perez Decl., Ex. C.

B. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Journalists

There is never any reason to attack journalists present at demonstrations to record the events unfolding and provide a critical check on governmental power. Journalists are often clearly identifiable by their press badges and known to police.

But on July 25, SPD repeatedly targeted journalists with brutal violence. SPD hit Omari Salisbury, the journalist who captured the "pink umbrella" video and other footage used in support of the preliminary injunction, at least **three separate times** on July 25. As SPD, without warning, began advancing toward the crowd, SPD officers started shooting at Mr. Salisbury who was off to the side, away from the retreating crowd. Omari Salisbury, Converge Media, Livestream, Seattle Protests, Facebook, at 3:24:04-3:24:43 (Jul. 25, 2020, 2:26 PM), <https://www.facebook.com/256456004789181/videos/377506619883346>. As the shots continued, Mr. Salisbury exclaimed "he's targeting!" *Id.* 3:24:44-3:24:52. Mr. Salisbury and began coughing from the pepper spray and yelling "press!" "media!" and "our hands are up over here!" *Id.* 3:24:54-3:25:16. Explosions continued going off in the foreground as gas lingered in the street. *Id.* 3:25:16-18. Second, as SPD launched flash bangs into a large crowd, one exploded in a group of journalists hitting Mr. Salisbury's producer in the neck. *Id.* 2:48:50-2:50:15. Less

1 than a minute later, an SPD officer lobbed another flash bang grenade into the middle of the
2 crowd, near Mr. Salisbury and other media. *Id.* 2:50:53-2:50:57. Mr. Salisbury's gas mask was
3 also covered in blue dye, evidence that Mr. Salisbury had been targeted. *Id.* 3:13:44-3:14:55.
4 Finally, SPD attempted to push the protesters back, including Mr. Salisbury and other journalists
5 huddled together. But because they were at the front line, the journalists could only retreat as
6 fast as the people behind them. Mr. Salisbury and others tried to tell SPD that they were moving
7 back as fast as they could, but those statements were met pepper spray as multiple officers maced
8 the journalists. @lukeryderrr, Twitter (Jul. 25, 2020, 4:45 PM),
9 <https://twitter.com/lukeryderrr/status/1287172224588214272>.

10 Mr. Salisbury and his team were not the only journalists to be hit with pepper spray by
11 SPD. Renee Raketty is a reporter for the Seattle Gay News. On July 25, she was sitting alone on
12 a fire escape taking pictures behind the police line with her press credentials draped around her
13 neck. **She captured an SPD Officer intentionally and discriminately targeting her with a**
14 **blast ball.** Renee Raketty, Facebook, at 00:10-00:22 (Jul. 26, 2020, 1:48 AM),
15 [https://www.facebook.com/robert.raketty/videos/pcb.10222276500928791/10222276497168697/](https://www.facebook.com/robert.raketty/videos/pcb.10222276500928791/10222276497168697/?type=3&theater)
16 [?type=3&theater](https://www.facebook.com/robert.raketty/videos/pcb.10222276500928791/10222276497168697/?type=3&theater) 0:10-0:22. She was the only person in the area and did nothing to provoke such
17 a response, and was given no warning in advance. In the bottom left hand corner of the video, an
18 SPD officer casually walks near her, and tosses a blast ball at her.

19 Joey Wieser is an independent journalist who has spent the last two months documenting
20 SPD interacting with protesters. Wieser Decl. at ¶ 2. In those two months he had never
21 witnessed SPD targeting the media—until July 25, 2020. As he stood on a corner with other
22 media, SPD started firing blast balls directly at them even as they shouted “media, media!” *Id.* ¶
23 4. They also tried retreating. But again, because they stood on the front lines, they could only
24 retreat as fast as the protesters behind them. But it was not fast enough for SPD. Despite
25 identifying himself as media earlier, SPD shoved him and then shot pepper spray into his mouth.
26 *Id.* ¶ 5. He tried retreating again, but couldn't escape before of the larger slow moving crowd.

1 An SPD officer pepper sprayed him again, this time directly in the face. *Id.* ¶ 6. The pepper
 2 spray blinded him. He screamed for help, was disoriented, and had to leave the protest to reach a
 3 safe space where a bystander poured various fluids into his eyes to relieve the pain. *Id.* ¶ 5. Mr.
 4 Wieser left and did not return to either protest or document the protest. *Id.* ¶ 8.

5 Mike Carter, a 43-year veteran *Seattle Times* reporter, who spent much of his career
 6 reporting on law enforcement and police accountability said that SPD's targeting of journalists
 7 during the July 25 protests was, based on his observation, **retaliation** rather than responses to
 8 illegal conduct or threats to safety. Mike Carter (@stimesmcarter), Twitter (Jul. 26, 2020, 11:41
 9 AM), <https://twitter.com/stimesmcarter/status/1287458152871366656>.

10 **C. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Legal Observers**

11 NLG legal observers are immediately recognizable, even in a crowd. Each legal observer
 12 wears a bright green hat, which says "National Lawyers Guild Legal Observer." The NLG has
 13 observed protests in Seattle for decades. In early July 2020, NLG notified SPG that it would
 14 send observers to monitor the protests. Perez Decl., Ex. A. The SPD responded, acknowledging
 15 the importance of legal observers, and assuring NLG that they would not be targeted with police
 16 violence. *Id.*, Ex. B. **SPD broke its promise.**

17 The NLG reported that SPD officers purposely hit legal observers with flash bang
 18 grenades, and with bicycles, needlessly shoved and grabbed legal observers, and deliberately
 19 pepper sprayed one legal observer in the face at close range. *Id.*, Ex. C. Another NLG observer
 20 captured the incident on video. Heidi Groover (*Seattle Times*) (@heidigroover), Twitter (Jul. 26,
 21 2020, 7:39 AM), <https://twitter.com/heidigroover/status/1287532513192075265>. The legal
 22 observer's bright green hat is clearly visible as the SPD officer pepper sprays her a few feet
 23 away. *Id.* The only other person visible in the clip had their back turned to the officer.

24 **D. SPD Violated the Injunction by Targeting Medics**

25 Medics are an increasingly common presence at protests against police brutality, and do
 26 not present a threat to anyone. An organization of medical professionals attended the July 25

1 protest. Elise Barrett, a nurse supervisor, and former intensive care unit nurse who specialized in
 2 helping patients with traumatic injuries, volunteered to assist protesters in need. She even wore
 3 her scrubs to be easily identifiable as a medical professional to both SPD and protesters. Around
 4 5:00 p.m. she heard a call for a medic and went to investigate. She saw an SPD officer pin a man
 5 against a retaining wall. Ms. Barrett approached the officer with her hands out to show she was
 6 not a threat and to offer first aid. Almost instantly she was pepper sprayed directly in the face by
 7 another officer while a third officer knocked her backwards to the ground. Video shows no
 8 reason to believe she posed a threat. Worse, the video shows that SPD targeted her precisely
 9 because she was an identifiable medical professional trying to help a protester.

10 That would only be the *first* time Ms. Barrett was pepper sprayed on July 25. Later that
 11 evening, Ms. Barrett was pepper sprayed again when she went to guide protesters who had been
 12 blinded by SPD's pepper spray to safety, off the street, as SPD advanced against the crowd.
 13 Barrett Decl. ¶¶ 16-17. As she moved people out of the street, she got caught at the back of the
 14 crowd of retreating protesters. SPD pushed the crowd further back, but people in the back could
 15 only move as fast as those in the front. SPD officers pushed her to move faster. She turned to
 16 say to the officers, "I'm going as fast as I can," but before she could get a word out **an SPD**
 17 **officer sprayed her directly in the face with pepper spray.** She was still wearing her scrubs.
 18 The sprays were so intense that they saturated her mask. Ms. Barrett, a volunteer medical
 19 professional, struggled to breathe, her eyes and face burning. She wasn't a threat. She's a nurse.

20 III. ARGUMENT

21 A. Legal Standard for Contempt

22 The City of Seattle should be held in contempt for violating the June 17 Preliminary
 23 Injunction. A party may be held in civil contempt where it "fail[ed] to take all reasonable steps
 24 within the party's power to comply [with a specific and definite court order]." *Federal Trade*
 25 *Comm'n v. Productive Marketing, Inc.*, 136 F Supp 2d 1096, 1106 (C.D. Cal. 2001), citing *In re*
 26 *Dual-Deck Video Cassette Recorder Antitrust Litigation*, 10 F.3d 693, 695 (9th Cir 1993).

Willfulness is not an element of contempt. *Id.* (“there is no good faith exception to the requirement of obedience to a court order”). A party who without just cause fails to comply with an order of the court may be subject to “such other sanctions as the court may deem appropriate.” LCR 11(c). “Sanctions for civil contempt may be imposed to coerce obedience to a court order, or to compensate the party pursuing the contempt action for injuries resulting from the contemptuous behavior, or both.” *General Signal Corp. v Donallco, Inc.*, 787 F.2d 1376, 1380 (9th Cir. 1986). A party seeking contempt may also be entitled to attorneys’ fees and costs incurred in bringing the motion. *BMG Music v Perez*, 952 F.2d 318, 320 (9th Cir. 1991).

B. The City Plainly Violated the Preliminary Injunction by Targeting Peaceful Protestors, Journalists, Legal Observers, and Medics.

The City of Seattle can provide no adequate justification for its continued and escalated use of pepper spray, blast balls, flash-bang grenades, and other projectiles against unquestionably peaceful protesters and other neutral parties. In fact, the City has already conceded that what it did was wrong: Mayor Durkan admitted that it was wrong for SPD officers to target NLG observers and journalists calling these allegations “*deeply troubling and chilling*.”³ No amount of property damage could justify the force SPD used a mile away against a large crowd of protesters unconnected with the alleged crimes of earlier.

Neither can the SPD’s overwhelming response be justified by injuries to officers. Even assuming SPD officers were injured by protesters, rather than their own weapons, this Court’s order does not permit SPD to use force for retaliation. Officers may only use force against protesters when such force is necessary, reasonable, proportional, and targeted as outlined in the June 17 Preliminary Injunction. On July 25, SPD breached this standard.

SPD may claim there was a “riot.” But SPD declaring a “riot” does not invalidate or dilute this Court’s June 17 Preliminary Injunction. In fact, calling a situation “a riot” has no

³ Bush, Evan, et. al., *As Seattle protests resume Sunday, demonstrators and police trade allegations about Saturday’s violence*, Seattle Times (updated Jul. 26, 2020, 8:39 pm), <https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/as-seattle-protests-resume-sunday-demonstrators-and-police-trade-allegations-about-saturdays-violence/>.

1 legal effect at all on how SPD is permitted to treat protesters. SPD's constitutional obligations to
2 peaceful protesters are the same whether SPD has declared a riot or not. The leading Washington
3 state case on this issue made clear that a person is not a "rioter" merely because they are in the
4 presence of others who may in fact be rioters. *See State v. Moe*, 174 Wash. 303, 306 (1933)
5 (stating that "[m]ere presence at the scene of the riot is not sufficient" and then vacating riot
6 conviction of person who was merely present and not participating as a rioter) (citations
7 omitted). Moreover, SPD cannot merely declare a riot and then consider an entire area of people
8 a specific threat, or use alleged violence one place to use indiscriminate force in a totally
9 different place. This is the exact type of violence the Preliminary Injunction sought to enjoin.

10 IV. CONCLUSION

11 In many ways, what happened on July 25 was worse than the events that led to the
12 Court's June 12 order. In a vengeful outburst, the SPD deliberately targeted peaceful protesters,
13 medics attending to those protesters, journalists chronicling those protesters, and legal observers
14 sent to ensure those protesters' rights are protected. This conduct is wrong even in the absence
15 of a court order. But here, it is especially troubling given the Court's clear guidance that
16 peaceful protesters must not be targeted, and that projectiles cannot be deployed indiscriminately
17 into the crowd. The City willfully violated the Court's order, and should be held in contempt.
18 Plaintiffs' proposed order seeks not only to clarify the injunction already in place but also to
19 sanction the City for these blatant violations. The City must be held accountable.

20 A "two sides to every story" response isn't good enough when peaceful protesters,
21 medics, journalists, and legal observers are getting shot in the ribs, head, legs, and face. Finding
22 the City in contempt, and sanctioning this conduct will go a long way towards ensuring it doesn't
23 happen again.

1
2 DATED: July 27, 2020

By: s/ David A. Perez
By: s/ Joseph M. McMillan
By: s/ Carolyn S. Gilbert
By: s/ Nitika Arora
By: s/ Heath Hyatt
By: s/ Paige L. Whidbee
David A. Perez #43959
Joseph M. McMillan #26527
Carolyn S. Gilbert #51285
Nitika Arora #54084
Heath Hyatt, #54141
Paige L. Whidbee, # 55072

9 **Perkins Coie LLP**
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
10 Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Telephone: 206.359.8000
11 Facsimile: 206.359.9000
Email: DPerez@perkinscoie.com
JMcMillan@perkinscoie.com
CarolynGilbert@perkinscoie.com
13 NArora@perkinscoie.com
HHyatt@perkinscoie.com
14 PWhidbee@perkinscoie.com

15
16 By: s/ Molly Tack-Hooper
By: s/ Nancy L. Talner
17 By: s/ Lisa Nowlin
By: s/ Breanne Schuster
18 By: s/ John Midgley
Molly Tack-Hooper, #56356
19 Nancy L. Talner #11196
Lisa Nowlin #51512
20 Breanne Schuster #49993
21 John Midgley, #6511

22 **American Civil Liberties Union of**
Washington Foundation
23 P.O. Box 2728
Seattle, WA 98111
24 Telephone: (206) 624-2184
Email: mtackhooper@aclu-wa.org
talner@aclu-wa.org
25 lnowlin@aclu-wa.org
bschuster@aclu-wa.org
26 jmidgley@aclu-wa.org

MOTION FOR CONTEMPT (No 2:20-cv-
887 RAJ) –13

Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Phone: 206.359.8000
Fax: 206.359.9000

By: s/ Robert S. Chang

By: s/ Charlotte Garden

By: s/ Melissa Lee

By: s/ Jessica Levin

Robert S. Chang, #44083

Melissa Lee #38808

Jessica Levin #40837

Fred T. Korematsu Center for Law and Equality

Ronald A. Peterson Law Clinic

Seattle University School of Law

1112 E. Columbia Street

Seattle, WA 98122

Telephone: 206.398.4025

Fax: 206.398.4077

Email: changro@seattleu.edu

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter
Seattle-King County, Abie Ekenazar, Sharon
Sakamoto, Muraco Kyashna-tochá, Alexander
Woldeab, Nathalie Graham, and Alexandra
Chen*